

**Table 9: 2003 Prostate Cancer Incidence Rates**

| <i>County</i>         | <i>Cases</i> | <i>Rate<sup>2</sup></i> |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| <i>North Carolina</i> | 5,041        | 137.1                   |
| <i>Alamance</i>       | 77           | 125.3                   |
| <i>Alexander</i>      | 19           | 113.4                   |
| <i>Alleghany</i>      | *            | **                      |
| <i>Anson</i>          | 23           | 182.5                   |
| <i>Ashe</i>           | 26           | 161.4                   |
| <i>Avery</i>          | 18           | 164.6                   |
| <i>Beaufort</i>       | 44           | 169.7                   |
| <i>Bertie</i>         | 26           | 249.7                   |
| <i>Bladen</i>         | 19           | 109.5                   |
| <i>Brunswick</i>      | 52           | 84.9                    |
| <i>Buncombe</i>       | 135          | 119.4                   |
| <i>Burke</i>          | 58           | 137.9                   |
| <i>Cabarrus</i>       | 77           | 134.1                   |
| <i>Caldwell</i>       | 46           | 112.6                   |
| <i>Camden</i>         | *            | **                      |
| <i>Carteret</i>       | 61           | 152.0                   |
| <i>Caswell</i>        | 15           | 124.2                   |
| <i>Catawba</i>        | 85           | 129.5                   |
| <i>Chatham</i>        | 43           | 153.9                   |
| <i>Cherokee</i>       | *            | **                      |
| <i>Chowan</i>         | 12           | 136.6                   |
| <i>Clay</i>           | *            | **                      |
| <i>Cleveland</i>      | 68           | 150.1                   |
| <i>Columbus</i>       | 44           | 147.6                   |
| <i>Craven</i>         | 100          | 217.6                   |
| <i>Cumberland</i>     | 151          | 151.6                   |
| <i>Currituck</i>      | *            | **                      |
| <i>Dare</i>           | 11           | 54.0                    |
| <i>Davidson</i>       | 84           | 111.5                   |
| <i>Davie</i>          | 20           | 102.1                   |
| <i>Duplin</i>         | 31           | 146.7                   |
| <i>Durham</i>         | 145          | 169.0                   |
| <i>Edgecombe</i>      | 46           | 187.5                   |
| <i>Forsyth</i>        | 189          | 139.7                   |
| <i>Franklin</i>       | 17           | 87.3                    |
| <i>Gaston</i>         | 109          | 129.9                   |
| <i>Gates</i>          | 10           | 170.8                   |
| <i>Graham</i>         | *            | **                      |
| <i>Granville</i>      | 32           | 131.0                   |
| <i>Greene</i>         | 13           | 154.2                   |
| <i>Guilford</i>       | 261          | 145.9                   |
| <i>Halifax</i>        | 45           | 164.0                   |
| <i>Harnett</i>        | 59           | 166.2                   |
| <i>Haywood</i>        | 27           | 76.0                    |
| <i>Henderson</i>      | 92           | 142.1                   |
| <i>Hertford</i>       | 30           | 246.9                   |
| <i>Hoke</i>           | 12           | 115.9                   |
| <i>Hyde</i>           | *            | **                      |
| <i>Iredell</i>        | 87           | 147.0                   |

<sup>2</sup> Rates per 100,000 Population

Sex specific populations are used to calculate rates for sex-specific cancers.

Age-Adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Census

\* Less than 10 cases observed

\*\* Rates based on less than 10 cases are unstable and therefore suppressed.

**Table 9: 2003 Prostate Cancer Incidence Rates**

| <i>County</i>         | <i>Cases</i> | <i>Rate<sup>4</sup></i> |
|-----------------------|--------------|-------------------------|
| <i>North Carolina</i> | 5,041        | 137.1                   |
| <i>Jackson</i>        | 14           | 81.3                    |
| <i>Johnston</i>       | 80           | 171.8                   |
| <i>Jones</i>          | 11           | 198.6                   |
| <i>Lee</i>            | 34           | 145.8                   |
| <i>Lenoir</i>         | 73           | 242.9                   |
| <i>Lincoln</i>        | 35           | 110.4                   |
| <i>McDowell</i>       | 29           | 126.6                   |
| <i>Macon</i>          | 28           | 120.4                   |
| <i>Madison</i>        | 12           | 104.3                   |
| <i>Martin</i>         | 12           | 92.5                    |
| <i>Mecklenburg</i>    | 342          | 135.5                   |
| <i>Mitchell</i>       | 11           | 107.1                   |
| <i>Montgomery</i>     | 17           | 121.4                   |
| <i>Moore</i>          | 58           | 102.9                   |
| <i>Nash</i>           | 49           | 121.6                   |
| <i>New Hanover</i>    | 92           | 112.9                   |
| <i>Northampton</i>    | 25           | 195.4                   |
| <i>Onslow</i>         | 63           | 147.6                   |
| <i>Orange</i>         | 67           | 160.9                   |
| <i>Pamlico</i>        | 17           | 193.5                   |
| <i>Pasquotank</i>     | 31           | 181.3                   |
| <i>Pender</i>         | 34           | 141.8                   |
| <i>Perquimans</i>     | *            | **                      |
| <i>Person</i>         | 38           | 220.6                   |
| <i>Pitt</i>           | 94           | 195.5                   |
| <i>Polk</i>           | *            | **                      |
| <i>Randolph</i>       | 76           | 125.9                   |
| <i>Richmond</i>       | 30           | 139.1                   |
| <i>Robeson</i>        | 93           | 195.2                   |
| <i>Rockingham</i>     | 55           | 117.5                   |
| <i>Rowan</i>          | 41           | 64.5                    |
| <i>Rutherford</i>     | 43           | 131.2                   |
| <i>Sampson</i>        | 41           | 147.9                   |
| <i>Scotland</i>       | 27           | 166.1                   |
| <i>Stanly</i>         | 22           | 74.7                    |
| <i>Stokes</i>         | 17           | 88.1                    |
| <i>Surry</i>          | 50           | 132.4                   |
| <i>Swain</i>          | *            | **                      |
| <i>Transylvania</i>   | 30           | 126.9                   |
| <i>Tyrrell</i>        | *            | **                      |
| <i>Union</i>          | 83           | 148.2                   |
| <i>Vance</i>          | 31           | 178.6                   |
| <i>Wake</i>           | 323          | 149.1                   |
| <i>Warren</i>         | 12           | 107.5                   |
| <i>Washington</i>     | *            | **                      |
| <i>Watauga</i>        | 27           | 148.6                   |
| <i>Wayne</i>          | 58           | 120.0                   |
| <i>Wilkes</i>         | 42           | 111.3                   |
| <i>Wilson</i>         | 47           | 143.3                   |
| <i>Yadkin</i>         | 25           | 129.4                   |
| <i>Yancey</i>         | 12           | 106.6                   |

<sup>4</sup> Rates per 100,000 Population

Sex specific populations are used to calculate rates for sex-specific cancers.

Age-Adjusted to the 2000 U.S. Census

\* Less than 10 cases observed

\*\* Rates based on less than 10 cases are unstable and therefore suppressed.